SNU 4541.574 Programming Language Theory

ack: BCP's slides

A Little More on References

Recap

Last time, we discussed how to formalize languages with mutable state...

Syntax

We added to λ_{\rightarrow} (with Unit) syntactic forms for creating, dereferencing, and assigning reference cells, plus a new type constructor Ref.

| t ::= | | | terms |
|-------|--|--------------------------|--------------------|
| | | unit | unit constant |
| | | x | variable |
| | | $\lambda \texttt{x:T.t}$ | abstraction |
| | | t t | application |
| | | ref t | reference creation |
| | | !t | dereference |
| | | t:=t | assignment |
| | | 1 | store location |
| | | | |

Evaluation

Evaluation becomes a four-place relation: t | $\mu \longrightarrow t'$ | μ'

$$\frac{l \notin dom(\mu)}{\operatorname{ref} v_1 \mid \mu \longrightarrow l \mid (\mu, l \mapsto v_1)} \quad (E-\operatorname{ReFV})$$
$$\frac{\mu(l) = v}{|l \mid \mu \longrightarrow v \mid \mu} \quad (E-\operatorname{DereFLoc})$$
$$l:=v_2 \mid \mu \longrightarrow \operatorname{unit} \mid [l \mapsto v_2]\mu \quad (E-\operatorname{Assign})$$

(Plus several congruence rules.)

Typing

Г

Typing becomes a three-place relation: $\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash t : T$

$$\frac{\Sigma(l) = T_1}{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash l : \text{Ref } T_1}$$
(T-Loc)
$$\frac{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash t_1 : T_1}{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash \text{ref } t_1 : \text{Ref } T_1}$$
(T-REF)
$$\frac{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash t_1 : \text{Ref } T_{11}}{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash t_1 : T_{11}}$$
(T-DEREF)
$$\frac{\mid \Sigma \vdash t_1 : \text{Ref } T_{11}}{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash t_1 : = t_2 : \text{Unit}}$$
(T-ASSIGN)

Preservation

Theorem: If

```
\begin{array}{c|c} \label{eq:constraint} \ensuremath{\mathsf{\Gamma}} \mid \Sigma \vdash \ensuremath{\mathsf{t}} : \ensuremath{\mathsf{T}} \\ \ensuremath{\mathsf{\Gamma}} \mid \Sigma \vdash \ensuremath{\mu} \\ \ensuremath{\mathsf{t}} \mid \mu \longrightarrow \ensuremath{\mathsf{t}}' \mid \mu' \\ \ensuremath{\mathsf{then}}, \ensuremath{ for some } \Sigma' \supseteq \Sigma, \\ \ensuremath{\mathsf{\Gamma}} \mid \Sigma' \vdash \ensuremath{\mathsf{t}}' : \ensuremath{\mathsf{T}} \\ \ensuremath{\mathsf{\Gamma}} \mid \Sigma' \vdash \ensuremath{\mu}'. \end{array}
```

Progress

Theorem: Suppose t is a closed, well-typed term (that is, $\emptyset \mid \Sigma \vdash t : T$ for some T and Σ). Then either t is a value or else, for any store μ such that $\emptyset \mid \Sigma \vdash \mu$, there is some term t' and store μ' with t $\mid \mu \longrightarrow t' \mid \mu'$.

Nontermination via references

There are well-typed terms in this system that are not strongly normalizing. For example:

t1 = λ r:Ref (Unit \rightarrow Unit). (r := (λ x:Unit. (!r)x); (!r) unit); t2 = ref (λ x:Unit. x);

Applying t1 to t2 yields a (well-typed) divergent term.

Recursion via references

Indeed, we can define arbitrary recursive functions using references.

1. Allocate a **ref** cell and initialize it with a dummy function of the appropriate type:

 $fact_{ref} = ref (\lambda n: Nat.0)$

2. Define the body of the function we are interested in, using the contents of the reference cell for making recursive calls:

```
fact<sub>body</sub> =
\lambdan:Nat.
if iszero n then 1 else times n ((!fact<sub>ref</sub>)(pred n))
```

3. "Backpatch" by storing the real body into the reference cell:

fact_{ref} := fact_{body}

4. Extract the contents of the reference cell and use it as desired:

```
fact = !fact<sub>ref</sub>
fact 5
```

Exceptions

Motivation

Most programming languages provide some mechanism for interrupting the normal flow of control in a program to signal some exceptional condition.

Note that it is always *possible* to program without exceptions — instead of raising an exception, we return None; instead of returning result x normally, we return Some(x). But now we need to wrap every function application in a case to find out whether it returned a result or an exception.

It is much more convenient to build this mechanism into the language.

Varieties of non-local control

There are many ways of adding "non-local control flow"

- exit(1)
- ▶ goto
- setjmp/longjmp
- raise/try (or catch/throw) in many variations
- callcc / continuations
- more esoteric variants (cf. many Scheme papers)

Let's begin with the simplest of these.

An "abort" primitive in λ_{\rightarrow}

First step: raising exceptions (but not catching them).

| t ::= error | terms run-time error | | |
|----------------|---|-------------|--|
| Evaluation | | | |
| | $\texttt{error} \ \texttt{t}_2 \longrightarrow \texttt{error}$ | (E-AppErr1) | |
| | $\mathtt{v}_1 \;\; \mathtt{error} \longrightarrow \mathtt{error}$ | (E-AppErr2) | |

What if we had booleans and numbers in the language?



Typing

 $\Gamma \vdash \text{error} : T$ (T-ERROR)

Typing errors

Note that the typing rule for error allows us to give it any type T.

 $\Gamma \vdash \text{error} : T$ (T-ERROR)

This means that both

if x>0 then 5 else error

and

if x>0 then true else error

will typecheck.

Aside: Syntax-directedness

Note that this rule

```
\Gamma \vdash \text{error} : T (T-ERROR)
```

has a problem from the point of view of implementation: it is not *syntax directed*.

This will cause the Uniqueness of Types theorem to fail.

For purposes of defining the language and proving its type safety, this is not a problem — Uniqueness of Types is not critical.

An alternative

In a system with universal polymorphism (like OCaml), the variability of typing for error can be dealt with by assigning it a variable type!

```
\Gamma \vdash \text{error}: 'a (T-ERROR)
```

In effect, we are replacing the *uniqueness of typing* property by a weaker (but still very useful) property called *most general typing*.

I.e., although a term may have many types, we always have a compact way of *representing* the set of all of its possible types.

For now...

Let's stick with the original rule

```
\Gamma \vdash \text{error} : T (T-ERROR)
```

and live with the resulting nondeterminism of the typing relation.

Type safety

The *preservation* theorem requires no changes when we add error: if a term of type T reduces to error, that's fine, since error has every type T.

Type safety

The *preservation* theorem requires no changes when we add error: if a term of type T reduces to error, that's fine, since error has every type T.

Progress, though, requires a litte more care.

Progress

First, note that we do *not* want to extend the set of values to include **error**, since this would make our new rule for propagating errors through applications.

 $v_1 \text{ error} \longrightarrow \text{error}$ (E-APPERR2)

overlap with our existing computation rule for applications:

$$(\lambda x: T_{11}, t_{12}) \quad v_2 \longrightarrow [x \mapsto v_2] t_{12} \quad (E-APPABS)$$

e.g., the term

 $(\lambda x:Nat.0)$ error

could evaluate to either 0 (which would be wrong) or error (which is what we intend).

Progress

Instead, we keep error as a non-value normal form, and refine the statement of progress to explicitly mention the possibility that terms may evaluate to error instead of to a value.

THEOREM [PROGRESS]: Suppose t is a closed, well-typed normal form. Then either t is a value or t = error.

Catching exceptions

t ::= ... terms try t with t trap errors Evaluation

try v_1 with $t_2 \longrightarrow v_1$ (E-TRYV)

try error with $t_2 \longrightarrow t_2$ (E-TRYERROR)

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{\text{try } t_1 \text{ with } t_2 \longrightarrow \text{try } t'_1 \text{ with } t_2} \qquad \text{(E-Try)}$$

Typing

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T}{\Gamma \vdash try \ t_1 \ with \ t_2 : T}$$
(T-TRY)

Exceptions carrying values

t ::= ... raise t terms raise exception

Evaluation

(E-APPRAISE1) (raise v_{11}) $t_2 \longrightarrow$ raise v_{11} v_1 (raise v_{21}) \longrightarrow raise v_{21} (E-APPRAISE2) $t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1$ (E-RAISE) raise $t_1 \longrightarrow$ raise t'_1 raise (raise v_{11}) \rightarrow raise v_{11} (E-RAISERAISE) trv v_1 with $t_2 \longrightarrow v_1$ (E-TRYV) try raise v_{11} with $t_2 \rightarrow t_2 v_{11}$ (E-TRYRAISE) $t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1$ (E-TRY) try t_1 with $t_2 \longrightarrow try t'_1$ with t_2

Typing

To typecheck raise expressions, we need to choose a type — let's call it T_{exn} — for the values that are carried along with exceptions.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{exn}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{raise } t_1 : T}$$
(T-EXN)
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T \qquad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_{exn} \rightarrow T}{\Gamma \vdash \text{try } t_1 \text{ with } t_2 : T}$$
(T-TRY)

To complete the story, we need to decide what type to use as T_{exn} . There are several possibilities.

1. Numeric error codes: $T_{exn} = Nat$ (as in C)

To complete the story, we need to decide what type to use as ${\rm T}_{e\!\times\!n}.$ There are several possibilities.

- 1. Numeric error codes: $T_{exn} = Nat$ (as in C)
- 2. Error messages: $T_{exn} = String$

To complete the story, we need to decide what type to use as T_{exn} . There are several possibilities.

- 1. Numeric error codes: $T_{exn} = Nat$ (as in C)
- 2. Error messages: $T_{exn} = String$
- 3. A predefined variant type:

| T _{exn} | = | <dividebyzero:< th=""><th>Unit,</th></dividebyzero:<> | Unit, |
|------------------|---|---|---------|
| | | overflow: | Unit, |
| | | fileNotFound: | String, |
| | | fileNotReadable: | String, |
| | | > | |

To complete the story, we need to decide what type to use as T_{exn} . There are several possibilities.

- 1. Numeric error codes: $T_{exn} = Nat$ (as in C)
- 2. Error messages: $T_{exn} = String$
- 3. A predefined variant type:

| T _{exn} | = | <dividebyzero:< th=""><th>Unit,</th></dividebyzero:<> | Unit, |
|------------------|---|---|---------|
| | | overflow: | Unit, |
| | | fileNotFound: | String, |
| | | fileNotReadable: | String, |
| | | > | |

4. An extensible variant type (as in OCaml)

What is T_{exn}?

To complete the story, we need to decide what type to use as T_{exn} . There are several possibilities.

- 1. Numeric error codes: $T_{exn} = Nat$ (as in C)
- 2. Error messages: $T_{exn} = String$
- 3. A predefined variant type:

| T _{exn} | = | <dividebyzero:< th=""><th>Unit,</th></dividebyzero:<> | Unit, |
|------------------|---|---|---------|
| | | overflow: | Unit, |
| | | fileNotFound: | String, |
| | | fileNotReadable: | String, |
| | | > | |

An *extensible* variant type (as in OCaml)
 A *class* of "throwable objects" (as in Java)