

Modular Analysis

Kwangkeun Yi
(co-work with Joonhyup Lee)

Seoul National University, Korea

10/20/2024 @ SAS'24, Pasadena

Towards a Modular Static Analysis Framework

Existing modular analysis instances: function-summary-based ones

- ▶ Wanted: a more “general” design framework
 - ▶ leveraging the AI framework
- ▶ Looking for: a modular semantics $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket_M^\#$ such that
 - ▶ modular analysis design = a finite, sound abstraction

$$\llbracket \text{pgm} \rrbracket_M^\#$$

- ▶ modular analysis implementation = immediate from

$$\llbracket \text{pgm} \rrbracket_M^\#$$

Towards a Modular Static Analysis Framework

For program

$e_1 \bowtie e_2$ of two modules e_1 and e_2 ,

we want its modular semantics $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket_M$ and linking $\bowtie$ to satisfy

$$\llbracket e_1 \bowtie e_2 \rrbracket = \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket_M \bowtie \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket_M$$

so that a modular analysis be

$$\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket_M^\# \bowtie^\# \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket_M^\#$$

with

$$\llbracket e_1 \bowtie e_2 \rrbracket \subseteq \gamma(\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket_M^\# \bowtie^\# \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket_M^\#).$$

Towards a Modular Static Analysis Framework

For program

$e_1 \bowtie e_2$ of two modules e_1 and e_2 ,

we want its modular semantics $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket_M$ and linking $\bowtie$ to satisfy

$$\llbracket e_1 \bowtie e_2 \rrbracket = \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket_M \bowtie \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket_M$$

so that a modular analysis be

$$\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket_M^\# \bowtie^\# \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket_M^\#$$

with

$$\llbracket e_1 \bowtie e_2 \rrbracket \subseteq \gamma(\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket_M^\# \bowtie^\# \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket_M^\#).$$

- What are such $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket_M$ and $\bowtie$?

Towards a Modular Static Analysis Framework

For program

$e_1 \bowtie e_2$ of two modules e_1 and e_2 ,

we want its modular semantics $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket_M$ and linking $\bowtie$ to satisfy

$$\llbracket e_1 \bowtie e_2 \rrbracket = \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket_M \bowtie \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket_M$$

so that a modular analysis be

$$\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket_M^\# \bowtie^\# \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket_M^\#$$

with

$$\llbracket e_1 \bowtie e_2 \rrbracket \subseteq \gamma(\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket_M^\# \bowtie^\# \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket_M^\#).$$

- ▶ What are such $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket_M$ and $\bowtie$?
- ▶ Our requirements:
 - ▶ **operational** modular semantics (immediate to implement)
 - ▶ **a few** proofs to do (the rest proven in the framework)
 - ▶ **align** with the AI framework (general & small hurdle)

Our Modular Analysis Framework: Recipe

Our Modular Analysis Framework: Recipe

1. Define collecting semantics:

$$\text{eval}(e, \Sigma)$$

for a set Σ of states, where

$$\text{eval}(e_1 \times e_2, \Sigma) \triangleq \text{eval}(e_2, \text{eval}(e_1, \Sigma)).$$

Our Modular Analysis Framework: Recipe

1. Define collecting semantics:

$$\text{eval}(e, \Sigma)$$

for a set Σ of states, where

$$\text{eval}(e_1 \times e_2, \Sigma) \triangleq \text{eval}(e_2, \text{eval}(e_1, \Sigma)).$$

2. Prove *advance lemma*:

$$\text{eval}(e, \Sigma \times \Sigma_0) \subseteq \Sigma \times \text{eval}(e, \Sigma_0).$$

Then follows

$$\text{eval}(e_1 \times e_2, \Sigma) \subseteq \text{eval}(e_1, \Sigma) \times \text{eval}(e_2, \text{Init}).$$

3. Hence a sound modular analysis is, for sound $\text{eval}^\#$ and $\times^\#$,

$$\text{eval}^\#(e_1, \Sigma^\#) \times^\# \text{eval}^\#(e_2, \text{Init}^\#).$$

Enabler: Shadow Semantics

Shadow semantics: $\text{eval}(e, \Sigma_0)$ with incomplete environment Σ_0

- ▶ to satisfy the *advance lemma*:

$$\text{eval}(e, \Sigma \times \Sigma_0) \subseteq \Sigma \times \text{eval}(e, \Sigma_0).$$

Shadow

- ▶ a composition of semantic operation symbols
- ▶ a semantic rep. of “symbolic-execution” results
- ▶ a semantic rep. of the computations to finish at link-time
- ▶ can be an infinite object.

Shadow Semantics Examples (1/3)

For “ $x + 1 + 2$ ”

- ▶ Shadow semantics with Init (unknown environment) is

$$\{\text{Read}(\text{Init}, x) + 3\}$$

For “ $f(x) + 1 + 2$ ”

- ▶ Shadow semantics with Init is

$$\{\text{Call}(\text{Read}(\text{Init}, f), \text{Read}(\text{Init}, x)) + 3\}$$

Shadow Semantics Examples (2/3)

For

```
let sum f 0 = f 0
    | sum f n = (f n) + (sum f (n-1))
in sum g 3
```

Shadow semantics with Init is

$$\{\text{Call}(G, 3) + \text{Call}(G, 2) + \text{Call}(G, 1) + \text{Call}(G, 0)\}$$

where $G \triangleq \text{Read}(\text{Init}, g)$.

Shadow Semantics Examples (3/3)

For

```
let sum f 0 = f 0
    | sum f n = (f n) + (sum f (n-1))
in sum g m
```

Shadow semantics with Init is

$$\begin{aligned} &\{ \text{Call}(G, 0), \\ &\quad \text{Call}(G, M) + \text{Call}(G, 0), \\ &\quad \text{Call}(G, M) + \text{Call}(G, \text{Pred}(M)) + \text{Call}(G, 0), \\ &\quad \text{Call}(G, M) + \text{Call}(G, \text{Pred}(M)) + \text{Call}(G, \text{Pred}^2(M)) + \text{Call}(G, 0), \\ &\quad \dots \} \end{aligned}$$

where $G \triangleq \text{Read}(\text{Init}, g)$ and $M \triangleq \text{Read}(\text{Init}, m)$.

Our Framework for Model Language L

Call-by-value, first-class functions, first-class modules, and recursive bindings

► Abstract syntax of L

Expression	e	$\rightarrow$	$x \mid \lambda x.e \mid e e$	λ -calculus
			ε	empty module
			$x = e ; e$	module def (bindings)
			$e \bowtie e$	module use (linking)

► Examples:

- 1) $(x = 1 ; y = 2 ; \varepsilon) \bowtie x$
- 2) $(M = (x = 1 ; y = 2 ; \varepsilon) ; \varepsilon) \bowtie (M \bowtie x)$

► Semantic domains

Value	v	$\rightarrow$	$\langle \lambda x.e, \sigma \rangle$	closure
			σ	environment
Environment	σ	$\rightarrow$	$\bullet$	empty environment
			$(x, v) :: \sigma$	add binding

Shadow Semantics, to Satisfy the Advance Lemma

- ▶ Defined its normal semantics: concrete semantic rules

$$\sigma \vdash e \Downarrow v$$

Shadow Semantics, to Satisfy the Advance Lemma

- Defined its normal semantics: concrete semantic rules

$$\sigma \vdash e \Downarrow v$$

- Extended to its **shadow semantics**: add rules with shadows for free vars

$$\sigma^\dagger \vdash e \Downarrow v^\dagger$$

Shadowed Env	$\sigma^\dagger$	$\rightarrow$	$\bullet \mid (x, v^\dagger) :: \sigma^\dagger$	
		$\mid$	S	shadow as env
Shadowed Val	$v^\dagger$	$\rightarrow$	$\langle \lambda x. e, \sigma^\dagger \rangle \mid \sigma^\dagger$	
		$\mid$	S	shadow as value
Shadow	S	$\rightarrow$	Init	initial unknown env
		$\mid$	Read(S, x)	read shadow
		$\mid$	Call($S, v^\dagger$)	call shadow

Linking, to Satisfy the Advance Lemma

► $\infty \in \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Shadow} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Val})$

► $\infty \in \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Env} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Env})$

► $\infty \in \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Val} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Val})$

Linking, to Satisfy the Advance Lemma

► $\bowtie \in \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Shadow} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Val})$

$$\sigma_0 \bowtie \text{Init} \triangleq \{\sigma_0\}$$

► $\bowtie \in \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Env} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Env})$

► $\bowtie \in \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Val} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Val})$

Linking, to Satisfy the Advance Lemma

► $\bowtie \in \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Shadow} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Val})$

$$\sigma_0 \bowtie \text{Init} \triangleq \{\sigma_0\}$$

$$\sigma_0 \bowtie \text{Read}(S, x) \triangleq \{v_+ \mid \sigma_+ \in \sigma_0 \bowtie S, \sigma_+(x) = v_+\}$$

► $\bowtie \in \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Env} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Env})$

► $\bowtie \in \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Val} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Val})$

Linking, to Satisfy the Advance Lemma

► $\bowtie \in \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Shadow} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Val})$

$$\sigma_0 \bowtie \text{Init} \triangleq \{\sigma_0\}$$

$$\sigma_0 \bowtie \text{Read}(S, x) \triangleq \{v_+ \mid \sigma_+ \in \sigma_0 \bowtie S, \sigma_+(x) = v_+\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0 \bowtie \text{Call}(S, v) &\triangleq \\ &\{v'_+ \mid \langle \lambda x.e, \sigma_+ \rangle \in \sigma_0 \bowtie S, v_+ \in \sigma_0 \bowtie v, (x, v_+) :: \sigma_+ \vdash e \Downarrow v'_+\} \\ &\cup \{\text{Call}(S_+, v_+) \mid S_+ \in \sigma_0 \bowtie S, v_+ \in \sigma_0 \bowtie v\} \end{aligned}$$

► $\bowtie \in \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Env} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Env})$

► $\bowtie \in \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Val} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Val})$

The Advance Lemma for Model Language L

(Coq-verified)

The Advance Lemma for Model Language L

(Coq-verified)

- Defined its collecting semantics

$$\text{eval}(e, \Sigma) \triangleq \bigcup_{\sigma^\dagger \in \Sigma} \{v^\dagger \mid \sigma^\dagger \vdash e \Downarrow v^\dagger\}.$$

The Advance Lemma for Model Language L

(Coq-verified)

- Defined its collecting semantics

$$\text{eval}(e, \Sigma) \triangleq \bigcup_{\sigma^\dagger \in \Sigma} \{v^\dagger \mid \sigma^\dagger \vdash e \Downarrow v^\dagger\}.$$

- Proved the *advance lemma*

$$\text{eval}(e, \Sigma \times \Sigma_0) \subseteq \Sigma \times \text{eval}(e, \Sigma_0)$$

hence follows

$$\text{eval}(e_1 \times e_2, \Sigma) \subseteq \text{eval}(e_1, \Sigma) \times \text{eval}(e_2, \text{Init}).$$

The Advance Lemma for Model Language L

(Coq-verified)

- Defined its collecting semantics

$$\text{eval}(e, \Sigma) \triangleq \bigcup_{\sigma^\dagger \in \Sigma} \{v^\dagger \mid \sigma^\dagger \vdash e \Downarrow v^\dagger\}.$$

- Proved the *advance lemma*

$$\text{eval}(e, \Sigma \bowtie \Sigma_0) \subseteq \Sigma \bowtie \text{eval}(e, \Sigma_0)$$

hence follows

$$\text{eval}(e_1 \bowtie e_2, \Sigma) \subseteq \text{eval}(e_1, \Sigma) \bowtie \text{eval}(e_2, \text{Init}).$$

Sound modular analyses are:

- For sound $\text{eval}^\#$ and $\bowtie^\#$,

$$\text{eval}(e_1 \bowtie e_2, \Sigma) \subseteq \gamma(\text{eval}^\#(e_1, \Sigma^\#) \bowtie^\# \text{eval}^\#(e_2, \text{Init}^\#)).$$

Framework Instance

- ▶ Function-summary-based approach

Framework Instance

- ▶ Function-summary-based approach

- ▶ for closure

$$\langle f(x) = e, \Sigma^\# \rangle,$$

its **summary** $f^\#$ is

$$f^\# \triangleq \text{eval}^\#(e, \text{Init}^\#).$$

Framework Instance

- ▶ Function-summary-based approach

- ▶ for closure

$$\langle f(x) = e, \Sigma^\# \rangle,$$

its **summary** $f^\#$ is

$$f^\# \triangleq \text{eval}^\#(e, \text{Init}^\#).$$

- ▶ its **call** $f(e_1)$ under $\Sigma_1^\#$ is a linking:

$$(\text{eval}^\#(x = e_1, \Sigma_1^\#)::^\# \Sigma^\#) \propto^\# f^\#.$$

Summing Up

Modular Analysis

- ▶ check: **advance lemma** for semantics and linking
- ▶ then follows: $\text{eval}(e_1 \times e_2, \Sigma) \subseteq \text{eval}(e_1, \Sigma) \times \text{eval}(e_2, \text{Init})$
- ▶ modular analysis: $\text{eval}^\#(e_1, \Sigma^\#) \times^\# \text{eval}^\#(e_2, \text{Init}^\#)$

Summing Up

Modular Analysis

- ▶ check: **advance lemma** for semantics and linking
- ▶ then follows: $\text{eval}(e_1 \times e_2, \Sigma) \subseteq \text{eval}(e_1, \Sigma) \times \text{eval}(e_2, \text{Init})$
- ▶ modular analysis: $\text{eval}^\#(e_1, \Sigma^\#) \times^\# \text{eval}^\#(e_2, \text{Init}^\#)$

Summing Up

Modular Analysis

- ▶ check: **advance lemma** for semantics and linking
- ▶ then follows: $\text{eval}(e_1 \times e_2, \Sigma) \subseteq \text{eval}(e_1, \Sigma) \times \text{eval}(e_2, \text{Init})$
- ▶ modular analysis: $\text{eval}^\#(e_1, \Sigma^\#) \times^\# \text{eval}^\#(e_2, \text{Init}^\#)$

Summing Up

Modular Analysis

- ▶ check: **advance lemma** for semantics and linking
- ▶ then follows: $\text{eval}(e_1 \times e_2, \Sigma) \subseteq \text{eval}(e_1, \Sigma) \times \text{eval}(e_2, \text{Init})$
- ▶ modular analysis: $\text{eval}^\#(e_1, \Sigma^\#) \times^\# \text{eval}^\#(e_2, \text{Init}^\#)$

Summing Up

Modular Analysis

- ▶ check: **advance lemma** for semantics and linking
- ▶ then follows: $\text{eval}(e_1 \times e_2, \Sigma) \subseteq \text{eval}(e_1, \Sigma) \times \text{eval}(e_2, \text{Init})$
- ▶ modular analysis: $\text{eval}^\#(e_1, \Sigma^\#) \times^\# \text{eval}^\#(e_2, \text{Init}^\#)$

Thank you